

MARGARET A. MCLEATCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931  
LEO S. WOLPERT, Nevada Bar No. 12658

**MCLEATCHIE LAW**  
602 South Tenth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: (702) 728-5300  
Fax: (702) 425-8220  
Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com

BRITTANY SHRADER (*pro hac vice*)  
**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE DEAF**  
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 820  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3819  
Email: brittany.shrader@nad.org  
*Counsel for Plaintiffs Andrea Hollingsworth, A.R.H., and A.D.H.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ANDREA HOLLINGSWORTH, an  
individual; A.R.H., a minor by and through  
her legal guardian and/or parent, Andrea  
Hollingsworth; and A.D.H., a minor by and  
through her legal guardian and/or parent,  
Andrea Hollingsworth,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS, Nevada, a  
Municipal Corporation; JACQUELINE  
GRAVATT, in her official capacity as Chief  
of the North Las Vegas Police Department;  
MICHAEL L. ROSE, an individual; ERIC  
SPANNBAUER, an individual; DOES I – X,

Defendants.

**Case No.: 2:21-cv-02230-CDS-NJK**

**RENEWED STIPULATION AND  
ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY  
PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER  
DEADLINES  
(NINTH REQUEST)**

Plaintiffs Andrea Hollingsworth, A.R.H., and A.D.H. (“Plaintiffs”), by and through  
their attorneys of record, Margaret A. McLetchie and Leo S. Wolpert, with the law firm of  
McLetchie Law, and Brittany Shrader of the National Association of the Deaf, and  
Defendants, the City of North Las Vegas, Jacqueline Gravatt, Michael L. Rose, and Eric  
Spannbauer (collectively “Defendants”), by and through their attorneys of record, Robert W.

Freeman and Matthew E. Freeman, with the law firm of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP (collectively “the Parties”), hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines an additional ninety (90) days. This Stipulation is being entered in good faith and not for purposes of delay. This is the **ninth** request for an extension of the discovery scheduling order in this matter.<sup>1</sup>

While the parties are mindful of the Court’s admonitions<sup>2</sup> regarding the lengthy discovery in this matter, one final extension is necessary to schedule depositions and perform expert discovery. Since the Court entered its order mandating expedited discovery (ECF No. 50) the parties have endeavored to engage in settlement negotiations and complete discovery. As noted below, that order spurred voluminous written discovery and depositions. It also spurred motion practice, specifically two motions to compel<sup>3</sup> filed by Plaintiffs and one motion for a protective order<sup>4</sup> regarding the 30(b)(6) deposition notice filed by Defendants.

As noted in the parties’ requests for stays and more fully explained below, counsel for all parties have suffered personal setbacks and challenges in 2024—in addition to their normal caseloads which were further squeezed by those events—that have impacted their ability to complete discovery or reach a settlement in this matter. Thus, notwithstanding the Court’s understandable frustration with the pace of discovery in this matter, the Parties submit that good cause exists to extend these deadlines one final time.

## **I. STATUS OF DISCOVERY.**

### **A. PLAINTIFFS’ DISCOVERY**

#### **1. Plaintiffs’ Initial Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed.**

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to this Court’s order (ECF No. 120), counsel for the Parties are submitting a renewed Stipulation to Extend and have agreed that a 90-day extension is appropriate, as Mr. Freeman is required to leave the jurisdiction for an indeterminate period of time starting the week of December 9, 2024.

<sup>2</sup> (*See generally* ECF No. 50 (granting 45-day extension of discovery deadlines subject to several expediting conditions); ECF No. 115, p. 1:24-26.)

<sup>3</sup> (ECF No. 54 [1<sup>st</sup> motion]; ECF No. 61 [opposition to 1<sup>st</sup> motion]; ECF No. 75 [reply in support of 1<sup>st</sup> motion]; ECF No. 81 [2<sup>nd</sup> motion]; ECF No. 88 [opposition to 2<sup>nd</sup> motion]; ECF No. 96 [reply in support of 2<sup>nd</sup> motion].)

<sup>4</sup> (ECF No. 76 [motion]; ECF No. 86 [motion]; ECF No. 102 [opposition].)

1 R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated December 2, 2022;

2 2. Plaintiffs' Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set One,  
3 dated March 2, 2023;

4 3. Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission to Defendant City of North Las Vegas –  
5 Set One, dated March 2, 2023;

6 4. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant City of  
7 North Las Vegas - Set One, dated March 2, 2023;

8 5. Plaintiffs' First Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents  
9 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated March 2, 2023;

10 6. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Responses to Defendants' Requests for  
11 Admission - Set One, dated March 22, 2023.

12 7. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories  
13 - Set One, dated March 22, 2023.

14 8. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Responses to Defendants' Request for  
15 Production of Documents - Set One, dated March 22, 2023;

16 9. Plaintiffs' Second Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents  
17 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated April 24, 2023;

18 10. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production to Defendant  
19 City of North Las Vegas - Set Two, dated April 24, 2023;

20 11. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Admission to Defendant  
21 Michael L. Rose - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;

22 12. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production to Defendant  
23 Michael L. Rose - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;

24 13. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories to Defendant Michael L.  
25 Rose - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;

26 14. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's First Supplemental Responses to  
27 Defendants' Request for Production of Documents - Set One, dated April 24, 2023;

28 15. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Request for Admission to Defendant Eric

Spannbauer - Set One, dated April 27, 2023;

16. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Request for Production to Defendant Eric Spannbauer - Set One, dated April 27, 2023;

17. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories to Defendant Eric Spannbauer - Set One, dated April 27, 2023;

18. Plaintiffs' Third Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated May 10, 2023;

19. Plaintiff A.D.H.'s Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set One, dated May 18, 2023;

20. Plaintiff A.D.H.'s Requests for Admission to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set One, dated June 27, 2023;

21. Plaintiffs' Fourth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated June 27, 2023.

22. Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated December 4, 2023.

23. Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set Two, dated December 12, 2023.

24. Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set Two, dated December 12, 2023.

25. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set Three, dated December 12, 2023.

26. Plaintiff A.D.H.'s Interrogatories to Defendant Michael Rose – Set One, dated December 14, 2024.

27. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production to Defendant Eric Spannbauer – Set Two, dated December 14, 2023.

28. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production to Defendant Michael Rose – Set Two, dated December 14, 2023.

29. Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant Eric Spannbauer – Set One, dated

December 14, 2024.

30. Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant Michael Rose – Set Two, dated December 14, 2024.

31. Plaintiffs' Sixth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated December 14, 2023.

32. Plaintiffs' Seventh Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated January 16, 2024.

33. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Bradley Wine, dated January 18, 2024.

34. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Joshua Odoms, dated January 22, 2024.

35. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Christopher Miller, dated January 24, 2024.

36. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Rochelle Hinojosa, dated January 29, 2024.

37. Plaintiff Andrea Hollingworth's Second Supplemental Responses to Defendants' Request for Production of Documents - Set One, dated February 1, 2024.

38. Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set Three, dated February 5, 2024.

39. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set Four, dated February 5, 2024.

40. Plaintiffs' Eighth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated February 7, 2024.

41. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Jessica Mangual, dated February 7, 2024.

42. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Eric Spannbauer (Part 1), dated February 8, 2024.

43. Plaintiffs' Ninth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated February 15, 2024.

44. Plaintiffs' Tenth Supplement to Disclosures and Production of Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated February 16, 2024.

45. Plaintiffs' Requests for Production to Defendant City of North Las Vegas – Set Five, dated February 22, 2024.

46. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Michael Rose (Part 1), dated February 22, 2024.

1 47. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Jonathan Ramirez, dated February 29, 2024.

2 48. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Eric Spannbauer (Part 2), dated March 1, 2024.

3 49. Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Request for Production of Documents

4 - Set Two, dated March 6, 2024.

5 50. Plaintiffs' Deposition of Michael Rose (Part 2), dated March 27, 2024.

6 **51. Plaintiffs' Eleventh Supplement to Disclosures and Production of**  
7 **Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated March 28, 2024.**

8 **52. Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Responses to Defendants' Request for**  
9 **Production of Documents - Set Two, dated March 29, 2024.**

10 **B. DEFENDANTS' DISCOVERY**

11 1. Defendants' Initial List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R.  
12 Civ. P. 26.1 dated December 2, 2022;

13 2. Defendants' First Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant  
14 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated February 3, 2023;

15 3. Defendants' Interrogatories to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth (Set One),  
16 dated February 3, 2023;

17 4. Defendants' Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiffs (Set One),  
18 dated February 3, 2023;

19 5. Defendants' Requests for Admission to Plaintiffs (Set One), dated February  
20 3, 2023;

21 6. Defendants' Second Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents  
22 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated March 20, 2023;

23 7. Defendants' Third Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents  
24 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated April 20, 2023;

25 8. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff Andrea  
26 Hollingsworth Request for Admission (Set One), dated April 20, 2023;

27 9. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Response to Plaintiff Andrea  
28 Hollingsworth Request for Production of Documents (Set One), dated April 20, 2023;

10. Defendant City of North Las Vegas's Answers to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories (Set One), dated April 20, 2023;

11. Defendant Officer Michael L. Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Admission – Set One, dated May 24, 2023;

12. Defendant Officer Michael L. Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production – Set One, dated May 24, 2023;

13. Defendant Officer Michael L. Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories – Set One, dated May 24, 2023;

14. Defendant Officer Eric Spannbauer's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Admission – Set One, dated May 25, 2023;

15. Defendant Officer Eric Spannbauer's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production – Set One, dated May 25, 2023;

16. Defendant Officer Eric Spannbauer's Answers to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories – Set One, dated May 25, 2023;

17. Defendant City of North Las Vegas's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production – Set Two, dated June 7, 2023;

18. Defendant City of North Las Vegas's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Requests for Production – Set One, dated August 1, 2023

19. Defendants' Fourth Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated June 7, 2023;

20. Defendant's Fifth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated July 19, 2023;

21. Defendant's Sixth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated July 27, 2023;

22. Defendant's Seventh Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated August 8, 2023;

23. Defendant's Eighth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated August 11, 2023;

24. Defendant's Ninth Supplement to list of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.1, dated August 24, 2023.

25. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission - Set Two, dated January 4, 2024.

26. Defendant Michael Rose's Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Two, dated January 4, 2024.

27. Defendant Michael Rose's Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories - Set Two, dated January 4, 2024.

28. Defendant Michael Rose's Responses to Plaintiff A.R.H.'s Interrogatories - Set One, dated January 4, 2024.

29. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Three, dated January 11, 2024.

30. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories - Set Two, dated January 11, 2024

31. Defendant Eric Spannbauer's Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Two, dated January 16, 2024.

32. Defendant Eric Spannbauer's Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories - Set One, dated January 16, 2024.

33. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission - Set Three, dated February 20, 2024.

34. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Four, dated February 20, 2024.

35. Defendant Michael Rose's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Two, dated February 20, 2024.

36. Defendant Michael Rose's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories - Set Two, dated February 20, 2024.

37. Defendant Michael Rose's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff A.R.H.'s Interrogatories - Set One, dated February 20, 2024.

38. Defendants' Request for Production of Documents to Plaintiffs – Set Two, dated February 21, 2024.

39. Defendants' Deposition of A.R.H., dated February 25, 2024.

40. Defendants' Deposition of A.D.H., dated February 25, 2024.

41. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Five, dated March 7, 2024.

42. Defendants' Deposition of Latina Jones, dated March 8, 2024.

43. Defendants' Deposition of Andrea Hollingsworth (Part One), dated March 11, 2024.

44. Defendants' Deposition of Andrea Hollingsworth (Part Two), dated March 12, 2024.

**45. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Three, dated March 28, 2024.**

**46. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories - Set Two, dated March 28, 2024.**

**47. Defendant Michael Rose's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Two, dated March 28, 2024.**

**48. Defendant Eric Spannbauer's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Two, dated March 28, 2024.**

**49. Defendant Michael Rose's Second Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff Andrea Hollingsworth's Interrogatories - Set Two, dated March 28, 2024.**

**50. Defendant Michael Rose's Second Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff A.R.H.'s Interrogatories - Set One, dated March 28, 2024.**

**51. Defendant Eric Spannbauer's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories - Set One, dated March 28, 2024.**

**52. Defendant City of North Las Vegas' Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production - Set Five, dated April 3, 2024.**

1 **II. DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED.**

2 The Parties' primary remaining discovery tasks include: (1) taking the depositions  
3 of CNLV's 30(b)(6) representative and NLVPD Officer Yolanda Saip, and finishing the  
4 deposition of Defendant Rose (**the parties are working on scheduling these depositions as  
5 soon as possible**); and (2) expert discovery, including taking expert depositions.<sup>5</sup>

6 **III. SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF WHY EXTENSION IS NECESSARY.**

7 This is the ninth request for an extension of discovery deadlines in this matter. The  
8 Parties request that the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines be extended an  
9 additional ninety (90) days so that the Parties may complete the tasks above. The Parties are  
10 aware that this case has been stayed and amended a number of times and do not request this  
11 extension lightly. The Parties together request this in good faith and to further the resolution  
12 of this complicated case on the merits, possible settlement and not for any purpose of delay.

13 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, a stipulation to extend  
14 a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be submitted to the Court no later than twenty-  
15 one (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline, and that a request made within  
16 twenty-one (21) days must be supported by a showing of good cause. Here, the Parties  
17 contend that good cause exists to extend these deadlines.

18 Following the stays, the Parties are continuing to actively work together on possible  
19 resolution, finishing discovery, and engaging in meet and confer efforts. However, an  
20 extension is needed to finalize discovery, which has largely been completed but the  
21 undersigned factors have complicated the ability to schedule remaining depositions.<sup>6</sup>

22 As the Court is aware, two of the counsel at McLetchie Law (who are also married)  
23 have had two deaths in their close family within the last six (6) months<sup>7</sup>. While they have

24 <sup>5</sup> Further resolution of some written discovery issues may be needed, and the Parties are  
25 working together on creative means of narrowing some of the factual issues in the case.

26 <sup>6</sup> Further, while the expert reports are nearly done, one of Plaintiffs' experts was sick (and  
the Parties wish to avoid supplementing, if possible).

27 <sup>7</sup> As noted in the parties' September 4, 2024, status report and request to continue the stay in  
28 this matter (ECF No. 115), as well as the previous motions to stay (ECF Nos. 109 and 113),  
Mr. Wolpert's father was diagnosed as terminally ill with ALS in 2023 and Mr. Wolpert was  
required to repeatedly travel to Tucson, Arizona to assist his parents until his father's death

1 been working diligently since to catch up (including on this case), much work is backed up,  
 2 understandably. Further, Mr. Wolpert has been assisting his mother and Ms. McLetchie has  
 3 been required to devote substantial time and effort to planning and attending her late mother's  
 4 memorial services, and managing her late mother's estate.

5 McLetchie Law has recently hired another attorney and a paralegal to alleviate  
 6 these burdens, but professional factors outside the control of the undersigned have also  
 7 contributed to unanticipated burdens and challenges. For instance, in May 2024, Pieter  
 8 O'Leary—who was involved in litigating this matter—left McLetchie Law. Then a law clerk/  
 9 associate worked heavily on the discovery in this matter and was expected to work  
 10 intensively on the case following her leave to study for and take the day before her start date,  
 11 suddenly announced she had taken another position.

12 Further, Leah Wiederhorn, who was actively involved in this case, left the National  
 13 Association of the Deaf (NAD) in May 2024. This, in addition to the other matters detailed  
 14 herein, has also negatively impacted Plaintiffs' counsel's ability to devote time to this matter.  
 15 While Brittany Shrader of the NAD remains on the case to provide her expertise she has had  
 16 unexpected significant professional and personal responsibilities.<sup>8</sup>

17 Also, as referenced in ECF No. 115, counsel for Defendants has also had family  
 18 obligations that require time out of the jurisdiction; those issues have become more serious  
 19 and are expected to require more travel time in December.<sup>9</sup>

20  
 21  
 22 on May 17, 2024. Since then, Mr. Wolpert has been required to repeatedly travel to Tucson  
 23 to attend his father's funeral and assist his widowed mother with handling her late husband's  
 24 estate and affairs. Ms. McLetchie's mother was diagnosed with esophageal cancer in 2023,  
 25 and underwent surgery and several other medical procedures in winter and spring of 2024;  
 26 this required Ms. McLetchie to devote substantial time to caring for her mother, including  
 travel to Los Angeles where Ms. McLetchie's mother's surgery and recovery took place.  
 Unfortunately, the cancer metastasized and Ms. McLetchie's mother passed away on July  
 28, 2024.

27 <sup>8</sup> At the pleasure of the Court, Ms. Schrader is willing to provide more information regarding  
 these issues.

28 <sup>9</sup> At the pleasure of the Court, counsel for Defendants is willing to provide more information  
 regarding his family obligations.

There is thus good cause for the extension for these reasons. “Good cause to extend a discovery deadline exists ‘if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension.’” *Derosa v. Blood Sys., Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-0137-JCM-NJK, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 108235, 2013 WL 3975764, at 1 (D. Nev. Aug. 1, 2013) (quoting *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 (providing that the Rules of Civil Procedure “should be construed, administered, and employed by the court and the Parties to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding”). The Parties have been diligent in litigating this matter. Thus, the standard to extend all deadlines is satisfied here.

Based on the foregoing, the Parties thus respectfully request an extension of time to extend the discovery in this matter to enable to them to conduct necessary discovery in this matter and so that this matter is fairly resolved on the merits. **The Parties will not seek a further extension of discovery deadlines.**

#### **IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING DEADLINES**

Deadline	Current Deadline	Proposed New Deadline
Amend Pleadings and Add Parties	February 7, 2022	unchanged
Initial Expert Disclosures	November 15, 2024	<b>February 13, 2025</b>
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	December 16, 2024	<b>March 17, 2025<sup>10</sup></b>
Discovery Cut-Off	January 17, 2025	<b>April 17, 2025</b>
Dispositive Motions	February 17, 2025	<b>May 19, 2025<sup>11</sup></b>
Joint Pretrial Order	March 17, 2025	<b>June 16, 2025<sup>12</sup></b> <b>(If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision of the dispositive motions or further order of the Court.)</b>

<sup>10</sup> 90 days after December 16, 2024, is March 16, 2025, a Sunday.

<sup>11</sup> 90 days after February 17, 2025, is May 18, 2025, a Sunday.

<sup>12</sup> 90 days after March 17, 2025, is June 15, 2025, a Sunday.

Based on the foregoing stipulation and proposed deadlines plan, the Parties request that the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines be extended an additional ninety (90) days so that the parties may conduct necessary discovery.

DATED December 6, 2024.

DATED December 6, 2024.

**MCLETCHE LAW**

**LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP**

By: /s/ Margaret A. McLetchie  
MARGARET A. MCLETCHE,  
Nevada Bar No. 10931  
LEO S. WOLPERT,  
Nevada Bar No. 12658  
**MCLETCHE LAW**  
602 South Tenth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: (702) 728-5300  
Fax: (702) 425-8220  
Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com

By: /s/ Robert W. Freeman  
ROBERT W. FREEMAN  
Nevada Bar No. 3062  
Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com  
MATTHEW E. FREEMAN  
Nevada Bar No 14198  
Matt.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com  
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118  
Telephone: (702) 893-3383  
Fax: (702) 893-3789  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

BRITTANY SHRADER (*pro hac vice*)  
**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE DEAF**  
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 820  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3819  
Email: leah.wiederhorn@nad.org;  
brittany.shrader@nad.org;  
*Counsel for Plaintiffs Andrea Hollingsworth, A.R.H., and A.D.H.*

**ORDER**

No further extensions will be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 9, 2024

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE